

Entailments: 1

Is there a company whose CEO loves Jane?

$\exists x [\text{Company}(x) \wedge \text{Loves}(\text{ceoOf}(x), \text{jane})]$??

Suppose $\mathcal{S} \models \text{KB}$.

Then $\mathcal{S} \models \text{Rich}(\text{john}), \text{Man}(\text{john})$,

and $\mathcal{S} \models \forall y [\text{Rich}(y) \wedge \text{Man}(y) \supset \text{Loves}(y, \text{jane})]$

so $\mathcal{S} \models \text{Loves}(\text{john}, \text{jane})$.

Also $\mathcal{S} \models \text{john} = \text{ceoOf}(\text{fic})$,

so $\mathcal{S} \models \text{Loves}(\text{ceoOf}(\text{fic}), \text{jane})$.

Finally $\mathcal{S} \models \text{Company}(\text{faultyInsuranceCorp})$,

and $\mathcal{S} \models \text{fic} = \text{faultyInsuranceCorp}$,

so $\mathcal{S} \models \text{Company}(\text{fic})$.

Thus, $\mathcal{S} \models \text{Company}(\text{fic}) \wedge \text{Loves}(\text{ceoOf}(\text{fic}), \text{jane})$,

and so

$\mathcal{S} \models \exists x [\text{Company}(x) \wedge \text{Loves}(\text{ceoOf}(x), \text{jane})]$.

Can extract identity of company from this proof

Entailments: 2

If no man is blackmailing John, then is he being blackmailed by somebody he loves?

$$\forall x[\text{Man}(x) \supset \neg \text{Blackmails}(x, \text{john})] \supset \\ \exists y[\text{Loves}(\text{john}, y) \wedge \text{Blackmails}(y, \text{john})] \quad ??$$

Note: $\text{KB} \models (\alpha \supset \beta)$ iff $\text{KB} \cup \{\alpha\} \models \beta$

Let: $\mathcal{S} \models \text{KB} \cup \{\forall x[\text{Man}(x) \supset \neg \text{Blackmails}(x, \text{john})]\}$

Show: $\mathcal{S} \models \exists y[\text{Loves}(\text{john}, y) \wedge \text{Blackmails}(y, \text{john})]$

Have: $\exists x[\text{Adult}(x) \wedge \text{Blackmails}(x, \text{john})]$ and $\forall x[\text{Adult}(x) \supset \text{Man}(x) \vee \text{Woman}(x)]$
so $\exists x[\text{Woman}(x) \wedge \text{Blackmails}(x, \text{john})]$.

Then: $\forall y[\text{Rich}(y) \wedge \text{Man}(y) \supset \text{Loves}(y, \text{jane})]$ and $\text{Rich}(\text{john}) \wedge \text{Man}(\text{john})$
so $\text{Loves}(\text{john}, \text{jane})!$

But: $\forall y[\text{Woman}(y) \wedge y \neq \text{jane} \supset \text{Loves}(y, \text{john})]$
and $\forall x \forall y[\text{Loves}(x, y) \supset \neg \text{Blackmails}(x, y)]$
so $\forall y[\text{Woman}(y) \wedge y \neq \text{jane} \supset \neg \text{Blackmails}(y, \text{john})]$ and $\text{Blackmails}(\text{jane}, \text{john})!!$

Finally: $\text{Loves}(\text{john}, \text{jane}) \wedge \text{Blackmails}(\text{jane}, \text{john})$
so: $\exists y[\text{Loves}(\text{john}, y) \wedge \text{Blackmails}(y, \text{john})]$

What individuals?

Sometimes useful to reduce n-ary predicates to 1-place predicates and 1-place functions

- involves reifying properties: new individuals
- typical of description logics / frame languages (later)

Flexibility in terms of arity:

Purchases(john,sears,bike) or
Purchases(john,sears,bike,feb14) or
Purchases(john,sears,bike,feb14,\$100)

Instead: introduce purchase objects

$\text{Purchase}(p) \wedge \text{agent}(p)=\text{john} \wedge \text{obj}(p)=\text{bike} \wedge \text{source}(p)=\text{sears} \wedge \dots$
allows purchase to be described at various levels of detail

Complex relationships: MarriedTo(x,y) VS. ReMarriedTo(x,y) VS. ...

Instead define marital status in terms of existence of marriage and divorce events.

$\text{Marriage}(m) \wedge \text{husband}(m)=x \wedge \text{wife}(m)=y \wedge \text{date}(m)=\dots \wedge \dots$

Abstract individuals

Also need individuals for numbers, dates, times, addresses, etc.

objects about which we ask wh-questions

Quantities as individuals

$\text{age}(\text{suzy}) = 14$

$\text{age-in-years}(\text{suzy}) = 14$

$\text{age-in-months}(\text{suzy}) = 168$

perhaps better to have an object for “the age of Suzy”, whose value in years is 14

$\text{years}(\text{age}(\text{suzy})) = 14$

$\text{months}(x) = 12 * \text{years}(x)$

$\text{centimeters}(x) = 100 * \text{meters}(x)$

Similarly with locations and times

instead of

$\text{time}(m) = \text{"Jan 5 2006 4:47:03EST"}$

can use

$\text{time}(m) = t \wedge \text{year}(t) = 2006 \wedge \dots$

Other sorts of facts

Statistical / probabilistic facts

- Half of the companies are located on the East Side.
- Most of the employees are restless.
- Almost none of the employees are completely trustworthy,

Default / prototypical facts

- Company presidents typically have secretaries intercepting their phone calls.
- Cars have four wheels.
- Companies generally do not allow employees that work together to be married.

Intentional facts

- John believes that Henry is trying to blackmail him.
- Jane does not want Jim to think that she loves John.

Others ...
