

# **Knowledge Representation & Reasoning**

---

Shyamanta M Hazarika  
CSE, SoE  
Tezpur University

# Direction of reasoning

---

A conditional like  $P \Rightarrow Q$  can be understood as transforming

- assertions of  $P$  to assertions of  $Q$
- goals of  $Q$  to goals of  $P$

Can represent the two cases explicitly:  $(\text{assert } P) \Rightarrow (\text{assert } Q)$   
 $(\text{goal } Q) \Rightarrow (\text{goal } P)$

and then distinguish between

1. goal vs. data directed reasoning
  - goal: from  $Q$  towards  $P$
  - data: from  $P$  towards  $Q$
2. forward vs. backward-chaining
  - forward: along the  $\Rightarrow$
  - backward: against the  $\Rightarrow$

Possible to have

- **(proc if-added (mygoal  $Q$ ) ... (mygoal  $P$ ))**
- **(proc if-needed (myassert  $P$ )... (myassert  $Q$ ))**

How to do data-directed reasoning in Prolog

Now: a formalism with forward-chaining

# Production systems

---

Idea: working memory + production rule set

Working memory: like DB, but volatile

Production rule: **IF** *conditions* **THEN** *actions*

condition: tests on WM

action: changes to WM

Basic operation: cycle of

1. recognize

find conflict set: rules whose conditions are satisfied by current WM

2. resolve

determine which of the rules will fire

3. act

perform required changes to WM

Stop when no rules fire

# Working memory

---

Set of working memory elements (WME)

Each WME is of the form  $(type\ attr_1\ val_1\ attr_2\ val_2\ \dots\ attr_n\ val_n)$

where  $type, attr_i, val_i$  are all atoms

Examples: (person age 27 home Toronto)  
(goal task openDoor importance 5)  
(student name JohnSmith dept CS)

Understood as  $\exists x[type(x) \wedge attr_1(x)=val_1 \wedge \dots \wedge attr_n(x)=val_n]$

- individual is not explicitly named
- order of attributes is not significant

Can handle n-ary relations as usual

(myAssertion relation OlderThan firstArg John secondArg Mary)

# Rule conditions

---

Conditions: tested conjunctively

a condition is  $p$  or  $\neg p$ , where  $p$  is a pattern of the form

$(type\ attr_1\ spec_1\ \dots\ attr_k\ spec_k)$

where each specification must be one of

- an atom
- an expression within [ ]
- a variable
- a test, within { }
- the  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\neg$  of a specification

Examples:

(person age [ $n+4$ ] occupation  $x$ )  
- (person age { $< 23 \wedge > 6$ })

A rule is applicable if there are values of the variables to satisfy all the conditions

- for a pattern, need WME of the correct type and for each  $attr$  in pattern,  $val$  must match  $spec$
- for  $\neg p$ , there must be no WME that matches  $p$  ∴ negation as failure

# Rule actions

---

Actions: performed sequentially

An action is of the form

- **ADD** *pattern*
- **REMOVE** *index*
- **MODIFY** *index* (*attr spec*)

where

- index *i* refers to the WME that matched *i*-th pattern (inapplicable to *-p*)
- variables and expressions refer to values obtained in the matching

Examples:

**IF** (Student name *x*)  
**THEN**   **ADD** (Person name *x*)  
                  ordinary forward chaining

**IF** (Person age *x*) (Birthday)  
**THEN**   **REMOVE** 2  
                  **MODIFY** 1 (age [*x*+1])  
                                  database update

**IF** (starting)  
**THEN**   **REMOVE** 1  
                  **ADD** (phase val 1)   control information

# Example 1

---

Placing bricks in order of size

largest in place 1, next in place 2, etc.

Initial working memory

(counter index 1) (brick name A size 10 place heap) (brick name B size 30 place heap) (brick name C size 20 place heap)
--

Production rules:

**IF** (brick place heap name  $n$  size  $s$ )  
-(brick place heap size  $\{> s\}$ )  
-(brick place hand)

put the largest  
brick in your hand

**THEN MODIFY 1** (place hand)

**IF** (brick place hand) (counter index  $i$ )  
**THEN MODIFY 1** (place  $i$ )  
**MODIFY 2** (index  $[i+1]$ )

put a brick in your  
hand at the next spot

# Trace

---

Only one rule can fire at a time, so no conflict resolution is required

The following modifications to WM

1. (brick name B size 30 place hand)
2. (brick name B size 30 place 1)  
(counter index 2)
3. (brick name C size 20 place hand)
4. (brick name C size 20 place 2)  
(counter index 3)
5. (brick name A size 10 place hand)
6. (brick name A size 10 place 3)  
(counter index 4)

So the final working memory is

(counter index 4) (brick name A size 10 place 3) (brick name B size 30 place 1) (brick name C size 20 place 2)
---

## Example 2

---

How many days are there in a year?

Start with: (want-days year  $n$ )

End with: (has-days days  $m$ )

1. **IF** (want-days year  $n$ )  
**THEN REMOVE 1**  
**ADD** (year mod4 [ $n \bmod 4$ ]  
mod100 [ $n \bmod 100$ ]  
mod400 [ $n \bmod 400$ ])
2. **IF** (year mod400 0)  
**THEN REMOVE 1 ADD** (has-days days 366)
3. **IF** (year mod100 0 mod400 { $\neq 0$ })  
**THEN REMOVE 1 ADD** (has-days days 365)
4. **IF** (year mod4 0 mod100 { $\neq 0$ })  
**THEN REMOVE 1 ADD** (has-days days 366)
5. **IF** (year mod4 { $\neq 0$ })  
**THEN REMOVE 1 ADD** (has-days days 365)

# Applications

---

## 1. Psychological modeling

**IF** (goal is get-unit-digit)  
(minuend unit  $d$ )  
(subtrahend unit  $\{> d\}$ )

fine-grained modeling of symbol  
manipulation performed by people  
during problem solving

**THEN REMOVE 1**  
**ADD** (goal is borrow-from-tens)

## 2. Expert systems

rules used by experts in a problem area to perform complex tasks  
*(examples later)*

### Claimed advantages:


- modularity: each rule acts independently of the others
- fine-grained control: no complex goal or control stack
- transparency: can recast rules in English to provide explanation of behaviour

# MYCIN

---

System developed at Stanford to aid physicians in treating bacterial infections

Approximately 500 rules for recognizing about 100 causes of infection



## Certainty factors

numbers from 0 to 1 attached to conclusions to rank order alternatives

AND – take min      OR – take max

# XCON

---

System developed at CMU (as R1) and used extensively at DEC (now owned by Compaq) to configure early Vax computers

Nearly 10,000 rules for several hundred component types

Major stimulus for commercial interest in rule-based expert systems ★

**IF**

the context is doing layout and assigning a power supply

an sbi module of any type has been put in a cabinet

the position of the sbi module is known

there is space available for the power supply

there is no available power supply

the voltage and the frequency of the components are known

**THEN**

add an appropriate power supply

# Context switching

---

XCON and others use rules of the form

```
IF the current context is  $x$   
THEN deactivate  $x$   
        activate context  $y$ 
```

organized to fire when no other rules apply

Useful for grouping rules

```
IF (control phase 1) AND ...  
THEN ...  
...  
IF (control phase 1) AND ...  
THEN ... MODIFY 1 (phase 2) ...
```

Allows emulation of  
control structures.

```
IF (control phase 2) AND ...  
THEN ...  
...  
IF (control phase 2) AND ...  
THEN ... MODIFY 1 (phase 3) ...
```

But still difficult for  
*complex* control